

Fortnite Sprite Community

Evidence Pack

Full updated redacted revision - 24 September 2026

Purpose and handling

This pack compiles screenshots, messages, a supplied group-chat transcript, the Formal Notice of Departure, and later contextual material supplied in this conversation. It preserves evidence and attribution; it does not independently authenticate every screenshot, establish disputed allegations as fact, or make findings about any person.

Privacy/redaction update

Finder identifiers remain redacted in Evidence 01-04 and 41 because the finder was reported to have previously been doxxed. The confidential source remains redacted in Evidence 42-44. The exact Litecoin wallet address remains redacted in Evidence 46. Unrelated numeric account identifiers are redacted in Evidence 49.

Contents


Section A	Finder, bounty, gifting and early Sprite discussions	Evidence 01-04
Section B	Frosty, Karma, Quinn and credit/monetisation discussions	Evidence 05-08
Section C	Niko-related callouts, moderation and messages	Evidence 09-16
Section D	Karma, Vee, moderation logs and allegation-related screenshots	Evidence 17-26
Section E	Beksim / xtrapz dispute and supporting screenshots	Evidence 27-39
Section F	Giveaway payout and finder reward follow-up	Evidence 40-41
Section G	Relish/Sneaky, crypto and nukertool context	Evidence 42-47
Section H	Ownership dispute and earlier ownership-transfer context	Evidence 48-49
Revision note	Corrections, attribution and public X review	2 pages
Revision note 2	Ownership interpretation and later context	1 page
Appendix A	Private group-chat transcript supplied by Dion	Transcript
Appendix B	Formal Notice of Departure	Original 8-page document

Evidence rule used throughout

Where a screenshot records an allegation, opinion, second-hand account or later explanation, the caption identifies it as such. Directly visible content is separated from interpretation and from statements supplied later by xtrapz or a confidential source.

Evidence 01: Finder / Fortnite ID (identifiers redacted)

Section A - Finder, bounty and gifting




Direct-message screenshot in which the finder provides a Fortnite account identifier. Discord and Fortnite identifiers are redacted for privacy.

Privacy-redacted copy

Evidence 02: Finder / bounty discussion (identifier redacted)

Section A - Finder, bounty and gifting




Direct-message screenshot showing discussion of a bounty/reward and a stated \$750 amount. Later context supplied says the reward was community-funded; see Evidence 41 and the Revision Context. The finder identifier is redacted.

PRIVACY-REDACTED COPY

Evidence 03: Finder / request for Sprite (identifier redacted)

Section A - Finder, bounty and gifting



Screenshot showing the finder receiving a message from TTV Brody4PWR asking for a Sprite and offering something in return. The finder identifier is redacted.

Privacy-redacted copy

Evidence 04: Finder / later Sprite offer (identifier redacted)

Section A - Finder, bounty and gifting




Later direct-message screenshot showing continuing Sprite-related discussion and an offer involving Galaxy Demon. The finder identifier is redacted.

Privacy-redacted copy

Evidence 05: Frosty / keeping information quiet

Section B - Frosty, Karma, Quinn and monetisation/credit




Discord screenshot in which Frosty asks xtrapz to delete a tweet if information is being kept "hush".

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 06: Karma / distribution and credit discussion

Section B - Frosty, Karma, Quinn and monetisation/credit




Discord screenshot discussing distribution, credit, fame and clout.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 07: Quinn / "cashed in" discussion

Section B - Frosty, Karma, Quinn and monetisation/credit



Discord screenshot in which Quinn refers to cashing in and says the group had agreed; xtrapz replies that he does not want money.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 08: Quinn / bounty and YouTubers

Section B - Frosty, Karma, Quinn and monetisation/credit



Quinn Yesterday at 3:35 PM

Based on what I know

Strapz is lying

The original is spanish

Hence why it took so long to find on English socials



Beksim Yesterday at 3:36 PM

:/

 @Beksim :/



Quinn Yesterday at 3:41 PM

Yep

:/

Some rando got the bounty



Beksim Yesterday at 3:42 PM

I tried my best :/



Quinn Yesterday at 3:42 PM

All good

I got a bunch of YouTubers to vouch for me

Need lufu to wake up

Discord screenshot mentioning a bounty and YouTubers being asked to vouch.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 09: Niko / public scalper callout

Section C - Niko-related screenshots



Nikō   6/12/26, 8:45 PM

THIS GUY IS SELLING SPRITES EVERYONE GO INTO HIS CHAT AND SAY “L SCALPER JOIN discord.gg/spritesanctuary FOR FREE GUMMY SPRITES”

<https://www.tiktok.com/t/ZP9j6w5KamEfb-Sj7mB/>
[@here](#)



Sprite Sanctuary 
● 806 Online ● 3,242 Members
Est. Jun 2026

Go to Server


 9  4  3  5 

Screenshot showing Niko accusing another person of selling Sprites and encouraging viewers to post a message in that person's chat.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 10: Niko / "Let him crack"

Section C - Niko-related screenshots



Short Discord screenshot containing the phrase "Let him crack"; surrounding context is limited.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 11: Niko / abusive language

Section C - Niko-related screenshots

wall-of-shame



Nikō 6d

I fucking hate this monkey, public execution bitch!!!

sprite-general



Nikō 6d


This fuckass monkey again?

Discord screenshot containing highly abusive and racist language attributed to Niko.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 12: Niko / no-ping rule

Section C - Niko-related screenshots




Screenshot showing a rule stating that random pings of Niko result in a 30-minute mute.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 13: Niko / limited-context exchange

Section C - Niko-related screenshots



Short Discord screenshot provided as contextual material.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 14: Niko / "crack" members message

Section C - Niko-related screenshots




Discord screenshot containing a message asking how many members would be needed to "crack" someone.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 15: Private DM / blocking message

Section C - Niko-related screenshots



Short direct-message screenshot containing "if you'd like to block me I totally get it."

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 16: Quinn / duplicate copy

Section C - Niko-related screenshots



Quinn Yesterday at 3:35 PM

Based on what I know

Strapz is lying

The original is spanish

Hence why it took so long to find on English socials



Beksim Yesterday at 3:36 PM

:/

 @Beksim :/



Quinn Yesterday at 3:41 PM

Yep

:/

Some rando got the bounty



Beksim Yesterday at 3:42 PM

I tried my best :/



Quinn Yesterday at 3:42 PM

All good

I got a bunch of YouTubers to vouch for me


Need lufu to wake up

Duplicate copy of the earlier Quinn bounty/YouTuber screenshot, preserved because it was supplied separately.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 17: Karma / profile and mutual servers

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Discord profile screenshot showing Karma and listed mutual servers.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 18: Vee / requested phone screen share

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Discord screenshot in which Vee says they asked Karma to screen-share a phone for verification.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 19: Vee / deleted-message discussion

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Discord screenshot discussing messages said to have been deleted and limits on Vee's access to Karma's phone.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 20: Karma / locating files and messages

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Discord screenshot in which Karma says they can go through files to find messages.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 21: Karma / moderation log

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Moderation log screenshot showing itskarmalee banning Beksim and spookyfls.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 22: Karma / allegation relayed

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Discord screenshot showing Karma relaying a serious allegation said to have been made by another user.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 23: Vee / duplicate screen-share screenshot

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Duplicate copy preserved as separately supplied evidence.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 24: Vee / duplicate deleted-message screenshot

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Duplicate copy preserved as separately supplied evidence.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 25: Vee / second duplicate deleted-message screenshot

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots




Second duplicate copy preserved as separately supplied evidence.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 26: Karma / fuller allegation context

Section D - Karma, Vee and moderation/allegation screenshots



Longer Discord screenshot in which Karma relays the allegation while explicitly saying they are not stating that it is true.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 27: Beksim / audit-log removals I

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute



beksim removed #test

21/08/2026



Ticket Tool#6207 removed
#scammer-closed-0118

20/08/2026



beksim removed 🎪 | xTrapz Dust
Royale!

20/08/2026



beksim removed 🎪 | Sprite
Indexing Stage!


20/08/2026

Audit-log screenshot showing Beksim removing several channels.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 28: Beksim / audit-log removals II

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Additional audit-log screenshot showing Beksim removing channels.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 29: Beksim / audit-log removal III

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Additional audit-log screenshot showing another channel removal.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 30: Beksim and xtrapz / audit-log discussion I

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Conversation in which Beksim says he only removed his own art and offers to help inspect audit logs.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 31: Beksim and xtrapz / audit-log discussion II

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Continuation of the conversation about audit logs, ownership and possible involvement.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 32: Beksim / partnership-ending message

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute



Beksim GROV 08/31/2026 4:21 PM
Hey, xtrapz

We wanted to reach out regarding the potential partnership we were discussing. After everything that has come to light, we are no longer pursuing it.


Even though we tried our best to keep things civil, including making announcements to stop people from stirring up drama, you've spent your time in your server making constant remarks, twisting the truth, and trying to paint us as monsters.

Specifically, we noticed several claims and contradictions:

- **Ownership Revisionism:** You've been going on and on about how "this was MY SERVER from day 1 until 2k+ members g" and claiming, "i added people TO my team," completely erasing the original agreement of shared ownership and equal say that we started with.
- **The Ban Plotting:** You were already planning to ban me behind the scenes, explicitly stating in DMs, "as much as beksim is chill and all. i am prolly gonna be banning bro," because I brought up the actual proof that you engagement-baited the server with a fake CM Klombo (which you knew was fake from the start). You did all of this while ignoring serious issues that had been brought to you for three days straight.
- **Financial Hypocrisy & Projection:** In those same DMs where you plotted to ban me, you tried to claim I "genuinely just cares abt the money" and complained, "i give you all this shit and i get nothing. i mean nothing but disrespect." You even sarcastically whined about how you're expected to "give up ownership, pay for everything 😂 yep," while having the nerve to ask me to pay for the bots right in the middle of plotting to ban me (which I didn't). This claim about me caring about the money is completely false; if that were true, I would be trying much harder to keep the member count as a source of income, something I have never attempted to profit off of. Meanwhile, you promoted a crypto organization you joined. Furthermore, Spooky paid for all the bots the entire time (and has the receipts to prove it), while you've repeatedly begged him for funding for your own separate outside business ventures, including a crypto project and an e-commerce dropshipping company.
- **The "Snakes" Narrative:** You started telling everyone that we were plotting behind your back as "snakes," when in reality, you simply ignored us for days on end until we hit our breaking point and chose to walk away.

All of this made it clear that you never truly valued what was meant to be an equal partnership among all of us, and you haven't honored the agreements or the mutual respect needed to keep things professional.


We appreciate the time we spent working on the server and building it up, but under these conditions, we cannot justify moving forward with a partnership. Good luck with your server in the future.



yes but does the CEO hand the server down due to another co owner just wanting to own it? no.

code xtrapz SPRT Yesterday at 11:45 PM
i added people to my team because i work 60 hr weeks, couldnt be active 24/7. server was originally mine. i added people TO my team.


code xtrapz SPRT Yesterday at 11:44 PM



the man · Aug 9
BEST SHOT ON NUKETOWN BO2 PORT



Monday



The bot ain't even in the disc

1 PM

to give up ownership, i

free money

my org is also giving away 1000\$ to 1 lucky person who comments w on this post and likes and reposts. go crazy and welcome our new partner


<https://x.com/stepspafn/status/2091906189620780327?s=44&w=R&h=N74c0yod3g2ibullg>

@everyone (edited)

Stepspafn (@stepspafn)

Introducing Steppas Fortnite

Chapter 1




Long Discord message ending a potential partnership and listing allegations and disagreements concerning xtrapz.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 33: xtrapz / server ownership statements

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Discord screenshot showing xtrapz describing the server as originally his and discussing adding people to his team.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 34: xtrapz / ownership and payment complaint

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Discord screenshot in which xtrapz complains about giving up ownership and paying for everything.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 35: xtrapz / private message about banning Beksim

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Private-message screenshot showing xtrapz saying he would probably ban Beksim and accusing him of caring about money.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 36: xtrapz / giveaway promotion

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Discord screenshot promoting a \$50 V-Bucks giveaway and a separate \$1,000 giveaway by another organisation.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 37: xtrapz / nukertool message

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute



Direct-message screenshot appearing to show xtrapz offering server re-entry if another person uses a "nukertool" or spams an invite.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 38: xtrapz / GitHub nuke-bot topic

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Discord screenshot showing xtrapz posting a GitHub link to Discord nuke-bot topics.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 39: Beksim and xtrapz / later dispute

Section E - Beksim / xtrapz dispute




Long Discord screenshot showing the later dispute over Spritely, raid/nuke allegations, prior claims and trust.

Original supplied screenshot

Evidence 40: SpookyFLS / separate giveaway payment

Section F - Giveaway payout and finder reward follow-up




Screenshot showing SpookyFLS stating that \$125 was sent and another account reporting receipt of 98.37 after deductions. xtrapz later clarified that this screenshot concerns a separate giveaway payout, not the finder reward.

CONTEXT CORRECTED IN THIS REVISION

Evidence 41: Finder / PayPal verification delay (identifier redacted)

Section F - Reward payout follow-up and privacy update




Direct-message screenshot showing an attempted payment could not be accepted and the recipient saying PayPal requested verification. Finder identifiers are redacted for privacy.

Privacy-redacted copy

Evidence 42: Confidential source / nukertool context I

Section G - Relish/Sneaky, crypto and nukertool context




Direct-message screenshot supplied by a confidential source. The source says a channel had been nuked/reset and supplies the older xtrapz message containing “use my nukertool” / “spam discord.gg/freesprites”. Source identity is redacted; the screenshot records the messages but does not independently establish the source’s explanation of motive.

CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE REDACTED

Evidence 43: Confidential source / alleged crypto-selling con

Section G - Relish/Sneaky, crypto and nukertool context




Confidential-source screenshot containing embedded Discord material about alleged Sprite selling for cryptocurrency and a reset channel. It supports the existence of a separate Relish/Sneaky dispute; allegations within the embedded messages are not automatically proven.

CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE REDACTED

Evidence 44: Confidential source / Relish and Sneaky contex

Section G - Relish/Sneaky, crypto and nukertool context




Confidential-source screenshot referring to Sneaky/Relish and asking for information about people in the Discord who allegedly tried scamming others. Source identity is redacted. This records the accusation/context, not a finding that fraud occurred.

CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE REDACTED

Evidence 45: xtrapz / public X callout of Relish


Section G - Relish/Sneaky, crypto and nukertool context



Public X post by @xtrapzbruv tagging @Relishh_ and accusing Relish of faking/"larping" CM Klombo for clout and an associate of trying to sell it. The post ends "ggs, well played." It proves the public accusation and wording existed, not that the accusations were true.

Evidence 46: 100 LTC Sprite offer (wallet address redacted)

Section G - Relish/Sneaky, crypto and nukertool context




Discord screenshot offering the Sprite to whoever sends 100 LTC first. The exact Litecoin wallet address is redacted from this public-facing pack; the substantive offer remains visible.

FINANCIAL IDENTIFIER REDACTED

Evidence 47: Follow-up message / wallet balance screenshot

Section G - Relish/Sneaky, crypto and nukertool context



Discord screenshot in which the same account says “someone sent it. whoever it was, dm me” and shows a wallet balance of \$5,149.84. This does not establish that the full displayed balance came from the Sprite transaction.

Revision Context and Corrections

Purpose. This note records corrections and later context supplied after the previous evidence-pack revision. Statements attributed to xtrapz or to a confidential source are recorded as statements, not as independently proven facts.

1. Separate the \$125 giveaway from the finder reward

Evidence 40 shows SpookyFLS stating that \$125 was sent and another account reporting receipt of 98.37 after deductions. xtrapz later clarified that this screenshot concerns a separate giveaway payout, not the reward for locating the Sprite. The earlier pack risked conflating the two, so this revision corrects that framing.

Evidence 41 remains the relevant screenshot for the finder-reward payment delay: it shows an attempted payment being blocked because the recipient could not accept money and the recipient saying PayPal required verification. xtrapz says the finder confirmed the same explanation, that the reward was community-funded, and that payment is currently waiting on PayPal via Spooky. Those broader details are attributed to xtrapz unless independently shown.

xtrapz also relayed that Spooky thanked him for clearing misinformation around the giveaway and does not want to be drawn back into further server disputes. This is recorded only as xtrapz's account.

2. Context around the older “nukertool” message

Evidence 37 still shows xtrapz apparently saying “i’ll let you back into our server if you use my nukertool” and “or just spam discord.gg/freesprites”. This revision does not remove or excuse that wording.

Evidence 42-44 was supplied by a confidential source whose identity is redacted. That source says the older message related to a separate Relish/Sneaky dispute concerning alleged Sprite selling/scamming for cryptocurrency, rather than a plan to nuke Beksim's server. The screenshots provide additional surrounding context, but the source's explanation remains attributed to the source.

xtrapz separately says the intent was to prevent people, including younger or inexperienced users, from losing money to people charging for Sprite access; he also says the Sprite was later distributed for free and that the bot/tool discussed is not Spritely and is not a tool he uses. Those are xtrapz's statements unless independently evidenced elsewhere.

Revision Context and Corrections - continued

3. Public X post and visible thread

A direct browser review of the public X post at x.com/xtrapzbruv/status/2093190393571446945, supplied from Work mode in this conversation, recorded the post as dated 28 August 2026 at 5:14 AM. At the time of review, X displayed 9 replies, 6 reposts, 53 likes, 9 bookmarks and 4,391 views. These engagement figures are only a snapshot and may change.

The post tags @Relishh_ and accuses Relish of “larping cm klombo for clout” and refers to screenshots of an associate allegedly trying to sell it. It ends with “ggs, well played.” Visible replies included xtrapz saying a skin-swapper-type method may have been used and replying “yes, i’m hoping epic games takes action on these individuals” when asked whether the behaviour could be bannable. Evidence 45 preserves the public post screenshot. The post proves that the accusation was made; it does not by itself prove the accusation true.

4. Cryptocurrency evidence

Evidence 46 shows a message offering a Sprite to the first person who sends 100 LTC. The exact wallet address is redacted in this public pack. Evidence 47 shows a later message saying “someone sent it. whoever it was, dm me” alongside a wallet screenshot displaying a balance of \$5,149.84. These screenshots directly show a cryptocurrency solicitation and a later claim that somebody sent funds.

They do not, by themselves, prove that the entire \$5,149.84 balance came from that transaction, prove the total amount received for a Sprite, or establish theft/scamming as a legal fact. Those stronger descriptions require separate evidence.

5. Redactions in this revision

The finder's Discord/Fortnite identifiers remain redacted because the finder was reported to have previously been doxxed. The confidential source identity is redacted from Evidence 42-44. The exact Litecoin wallet address is redacted from Evidence 46. Public usernames central to public posts or the disputes remain visible where they form part of the evidence.

Appendix A - Private Group-Chat Transcript

Transcript supplied directly in chat by Dion. Spelling, punctuation and wording are preserved as closely as practical; system/action lines are rendered in grey.

Hello

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:08

Yo guys

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:16

Hi

whats this for

well im dion nice to meet you @Beksim

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:17

hello 1 sec writing a big message lol, good to meet you too.

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:17

writing a big message lol

That's fine lol

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:34

Hey everyone, I want to address a few recurring rumors so we can put them to rest.

No attacks: Once I parted ways with Trapz and his server, I left immediately. I had nothing to do with what two staff members did afterward. In fact, I wasn't even aware of it until Trapz reached out. I helped him resolve the issue because I wanted to avoid bad blood.

Why I left: Trapz and I simply had our differences, and it was clear working together wasn't working anymore. I harbor no ill will toward him or the server. However, in the immediate aftermath, I saw him publicly bad-mouthing me and twisting the narrative. When I reached out to him personally about it, he didn't respond, and instead followed up by asking me for sprites for his server, which I provided.

The ongoing rumors: Since then, I've received countless reports of Trapz continuing to bad-mouth me, along with claims that Evan has told other server owners I tried to destroy Trapz's server, all I got was random log screenshots attached below if you have questions as to the context of those actions please ask, but keep in mind that issues between me and trapz only started on the 22nd and I left on the 29th. That simply isn't true. To be clear, I even helped Trapz use moderation tools to find and remove the people responsible for those actions (attached DM proof below).

Server security: I've also heard reports that Trapz planned to plant spies in my server or recruit staff to nuke it.

Trapz, truth or rumor, I don't care at this point. Can we all just agree to stop attacking each other? I want zero drama, but hearing these constant "you planned this" accusations from your own staff members both current and former is getting tiresome.

Anyway, I have one more thing to share in a moment, But want to give you all time to read and respond to this part first.

Image

Image

Image

Image

Image

Image

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:35

All I can say is I don't even know who you are lol

so nothing on my end will cause problems

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:36

LOL

im one of the owners that parted ways

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:37

and i can guess what the one more thing to share is about

all the drama with nighthex , tonyducks etc

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:37

a bit yes

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:37

thought so

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:39

im choosing to put some trust in yall so would appreciate the same respect. i have info on someone planning something and would prefer what i share with you not leave here but you ban them from your server for "something else"

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:39

like if people actually got to know me i am very chill, but people have got to the point where i have had every verbal remark said to me under the sun, because we have been changing things on the advice of trapz and we always make sure he is ok with it, the main reason i came in was to help evan and trapz with the bots but people seem to think that i am out to get them

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:39

i think we should call

idk if anyone else agrees

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:39

we can yeah

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:39

i can usually communicate better

ban them from your server for "something else"

ye

e. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:39

To the point of "Can we all just agree to stop attacking each other?" - Yes.

To address what I have said in other servers, I told them what was, to the best of my knowledge, the truth. If those statements were not true then I apologise and said them while informed incorrectly.

I don't have any bad blood with you. I became staff after you'd already gone. The only time I've spoken about you was referring to things I had been informed of. These could very well have been incorrect and based on your screenshots I'm assuming they are incorrect, therefore I do again apologise.

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:40

this gc is private

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:40

yyeah 100% the stages getting deleted was an audio bug of needing to make new ones cause random people couldnt here random people lol

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 02:41

me and evan are free to call whenever if you want to call the gc when you are ready

as well i did join your discord as well and the things that were said about me and evan are just awful

Beksim started a call that lasted 4 hours. - 22/09/2026 02:41

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 02:44

Attachment file type: document

Formal Notice of Departure.docx

20.63 KB

e. removed xtrapz from the group. - 22/09/2026 03:52

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 03:53

Image

Image

Image

Image

Image

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 06:00

Image

Image

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 06:02

<https://github.com/communityorg-discord/spritely>

Beksim [GROV], - 22/09/2026 11:38

also if you both are ok with it, quinn would like to be added to the conversation here.

i forwarded your apology to her as asked

e. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 15:46

Yeah that's fine

d. [SPRT], - 22/09/2026 17:21

Sure

Beksim [GROV], - 18:20

its your affiliation with trapz that has caused people to hate you

Quinn [SPRT], - 18:20

Wait wait guys

I think I know what's going on

Clearly we're all on out periods

Image

:disappointed:

d. [SPRT], - 18:20

Oh grow up

honestly

remove @Candy ☐ @Quinn @e. please

e. removed Quinn from the group. - 18:21

e. removed Candy ☐ from the group. - 18:21

d. [SPRT], - 18:21

getting invovled in useless remarks

i said what i said at the end of the day

at least i can admit to bad mouth to people

Attachment file type: acrobat

spritely-facts-2026-09-24.pdf

8.50 KB

Beksim [GROV], - 18:23

I never bad mouthed you guys... i spoke on trapz attempts to nuke servers and his claimed ownership of the bot. none of that is bad mouthing... those are facts.

i understand trapz doesnt actually own the bot per what you showed me

but his claim and his attempts are all i ever said

d. [SPRT], - 18:24

Okay, evan never bad mouthed you.

Beksim [GROV], - 18:24

and i spoke positively of you guys and your bot

Beksim [GROV], - 18:24

Facts vs. lies my guy

I

Never

said

your

bot

is

a

nuker

d. [SPRT], - 18:24

no but you said we were affiliated with trapz?

which

is

a

lie

Beksim [GROV], - 18:25

Are you not his community managers?

that is a fact

and thats what i said, you are staff on his server.

e. [SPRT], - 18:26

We're in his server, yes. We're staff, yes. We're affiliated, no.

Under the same logic: I know a burglar. I have a burglars phone number. I must be a burglar too.

d. [SPRT], - 18:26

"i dont trust the bot because trapz claims to own it and he has tried to nuke servers"

you said this

that is a lie

Beksim [GROV], - 18:26

how is that a lie

trapz has claimed to own it

d. [SPRT], - 18:26

im still waiting to see this evidence

Beksim [GROV], - 18:26

he may have lied

d. [SPRT], - 18:26

i asked for it ages ago

im waiting

but still

i can claim own dyno

doesnt mean im right

you should take a look at the actual spritely server

Beksim [GROV], - 18:27

agreed

i was never arguing that he did own it

i never said he owned it

d. [SPRT], - 18:28

I am still waiting for the evidence.

Beksim [GROV], - 18:28

it was in a video chat so i have no screenshots of this

d. [SPRT], - 18:29

Okay, so your claim was based on a video chat with no proof

so it was a baseless claim backed up with no evidence?

Beksim [GROV], - 18:29

a video chat screensharing trapz messages

no i didnt take screenshots

again i understand yalls frustration but i did not create the hate surrounding you guys

d. [SPRT], - 18:29

so you went into a server , claimed trapz owned spritely which you have no proof off, stating trapz has had raid bots in the past?

Beksim [GROV], - 18:30

i had a conversation with 2 owners who already were banning you and your bots

d. [SPRT], - 18:30

i thought you didn't speak to trapz

before the 22nd august

Beksim [GROV], - 18:30

and 1 owner who hated trapz and wanted my opinion...

d. [SPRT], - 18:31

But still

I am right

Beksim [GROV], - 18:31

someone else screensharing trapz messages

d. [SPRT], - 18:31

you spoke to 2 servers owners, claimed trapz owned spritely with no proof?

Beksim [GROV], - 18:31

I did not claim anything

but

d. [SPRT], - 18:32

you said "i dont trust the bot because trapz claims to own it and he has tried to nuke servers"

which you is a lie

as you have no proof to the claims of trapz saying he owns it ?

Beksim [GROV], - 18:32

Trapz claim may be a lie

but

d. [SPRT], - 18:32

so you lied

so you bad mouthed us

Beksim [GROV], - 18:32

this is just arguing in bad faith at this point

d. [SPRT], - 18:32

just like evan did to you

thats all i wanted

was you to admit you bad mouthing us

Beksim [GROV], - 18:33

wish you guys the best for you and your bot as i have always said, but no i dont trust it because of trapz claims and his history. Good luck

d. [SPRT], - 18:33

thank you i wish you luck, i dont really care if you don't trust my bot but don't go round making false claims please

Beksim [GROV], - 18:33

i never did...

d. [SPRT], - 18:34

you claimed trapz owned the bot

Beksim [GROV], - 18:34

no i didnt

there is a difference

i said trapz claims to own it

d. [SPRT], - 18:34

you legit said in the gc above

"i dont trust the bot because trapz claims to own it and he has tried to nuke servers"

d. [SPRT], - 18:34

thats what i just said

pls read

Beksim [GROV], - 18:34

trapz claims to own it

d. [SPRT], - 18:35

okay, which a lie

Beksim [GROV], - 18:35

i am not caliming trapz owns it

d. [SPRT], - 18:35

false claim

Beksim [GROV], - 18:35

trapz is claiming HE OWNS IT

d. [SPRT], - 18:35

stop going round making false claims, claiming "trapz claims to own it"

d. [SPRT], - 18:35

which you have no proof off

e. [SPRT], - 18:37

Ok and I claim I own Mars

I dont

But I claim it

Beksim [GROV], - 18:37

I probably do somewhere but honestly idc at this point you have spent an hour arguing in bad faith. You are mad at me when i am not the reason your reputation was damaged nor spritely's people already hated it and you long before i even knew you both existed

and that is because of your connection to trapz and the people that hate him...

i honestly thought our vc went better then that. but i see now it clearly didnt mean anything so again best of luck.

Beksim left the group. - 18:39

d. added xtrapz to the group. - 18:40

d. [SPRT], - 18:40

might as well give it a read trapz

oh and also you can go round making false claims

cause clearly you dont need proof

Appendix B

Formal Notice of Departure

Original supplied DOCX rendered to PDF without substantive alteration

FORMAL NOTICE OF OWNERSHIP DEPARTURE AND PROFESSIONAL SEPARATION

Document Status: Formal Notice · Nature of Decision: Voluntary Departure · Effective Date: 30 August 2026

Date: 29 August 2026

To: xtrapz

From: SpookyFLS, Beksim, The Sheeshgamer, and the undersigned departing staff of “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”

Subject: Formal Notice of Departure from “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” Ownership and Staff

Dear xtrapz,

We are writing this statement to formally communicate our decision to step away from our positions as owners and staff of “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” and to move forward independently.

This decision has been made following considerable discussion, reflection, and consideration of the circumstances surrounding the management, ownership, and future direction of the community. The owners have also decided to establish and operate their own community together, where they can apply what has been learned from the experience with “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” and develop it according to a shared vision. The staff listed in this notice have elected to depart with them.

We want to establish from the outset that this decision is not intended to be a personal attack against you. We are not seeking to insult you, discredit you, damage your reputation, or create hostility. We continue to value you as a friend, and that is precisely why we believe it is appropriate to communicate our decision directly, privately, and respectfully.

Our decision is not the result of one disagreement or isolated incident. Rather, it is the result of several concerns that have developed over time and, collectively, have led us to conclude that our expectations regarding ownership, management, communication, and the long-term direction of “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” are no longer sufficiently aligned.

DEPARTING OWNERS AND STAFF

The following individuals are included in this notice of departure, effective 30 August 2026:

Owners

- SpookyFLS — Former Owner, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- Beksim — Former Owner, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- The Sheeshgamer — Former Owner “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”

Staff

- Acceptablemarty — Departing Staff, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- CallMeMoxie — Departing Distributor, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- Iain Hugh — Departing Distribution Lead, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- Trebenj — Departing Distributor, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- Turtle Club Mafia Boss — Departing Distributor/Staff, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- ywhaq — Departing Distributor/Staff, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”

- BEYEBLE — Departing Distributor, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- CozyBunniii — Departing Distributor, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- Deadly Spaghetti — Departing Staff, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- ZOE — Departing Distributor, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”
- EvanTheSuckie — Departing Staff, “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”

Each of the above persons confirms that their departure is voluntary. This list is provided so that there is no ambiguity regarding who is leaving “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” in connection with this notice.

1. COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT AND MEMBER INVOLVEMENT

One of our fundamental views regarding community management is that a server should not be centered solely around its owners.

The purpose of a community is to bring people together. As a result, the members themselves form an essential part of the community and should have an opportunity to contribute to its development through suggestions, feedback, criticism, and ideas for improvement.

We do not believe that every suggestion must be accepted or implemented. Reasonable management requires evaluating suggestions based on their practicality, relevance, and potential benefit to the community.

However, we believe that genuine feedback should be heard and considered rather than simply being put aside and forgotten.

Listening to members does not mean agreeing with them. It means acknowledging that people who actively use and participate in a community can identify problems, opportunities, and potential improvements that ownership may not always recognize.

From our perspective, there have been occasions where this principle was not consistently followed. This contributed to our concerns regarding the overall management and development of the community.

2. MANAGEMENT OF CHANNELS AND OPERATIONAL CONSISTENCY

We have also had concerns regarding the general management of the server and its channels.

From our perspective, there have been repeated situations in which communication, organization, consistency, and decision-making could have been handled differently.

We fully acknowledge that different owners may have different management styles, and we respect your right to have your own approach. However, after working together over an extended period, we have concluded that our expectations for how the community should be managed are no longer sufficiently compatible.

We do not intend this as a statement that you are incapable of managing a community. Rather, it is our assessment that there have been multiple opportunities over time to establish the management approach we believed was necessary, and we have ultimately reached the point where we no longer feel it is appropriate for us to continue waiting for those differences to change.

3. GIVEAWAYS AND MEMBER TRUST

We have also had concerns regarding giveaways that were announced or hosted but, from our understanding, were not ultimately fulfilled.

We recognize that there may be individual circumstances surrounding particular giveaways of which we are not fully aware. Nevertheless, from an operational and community-management perspective, publicly announced commitments have a direct effect on member confidence when they are not fulfilled.

Trust and credibility are among the most important assets a community can maintain. Regardless of intent, repeated situations involving unfulfilled commitments can negatively affect the perception members have of the server and its ownership.

This is an area in which we believe greater consistency and accountability would have been beneficial.

4. SERVER-WIDE NOTIFICATIONS AND MEMBER RETENTION

Another significant concern has been the repeated use of @everyone notifications for Twitter posts and other content that, in our view, did not always warrant a server-wide notification.

We understand that the intention behind these notifications may have been to increase engagement, promote content, or direct members toward external posts.

However, from our observations, the frequency became excessive and eventually had a negative effect on the member experience.

We believe this contributed to frustration among members and, together with other factors, may have contributed to the loss of close to 1,000 members.

We are not presenting this figure as a definitive attribution of responsibility for every member who left, nor are we suggesting that this issue alone caused the decline. Rather, we believe it was one of several factors that negatively affected member retention and should have received greater consideration.

5. THE THREE STAFF MEMBER BANS

We would also like to formally address the incident involving the banning of three staff members over alleged doxxing.

Based on our understanding of the circumstances, the individuals involved were attempting to establish whether the car in question actually belonged to you. We did not understand their actions to constitute an attempt to expose, publish, or distribute your private information.

For that reason, we believed at the time that there may have been a misunderstanding regarding their intentions and that the resulting bans were more severe than the circumstances warranted.

We recognize that, as an owner, you possess the authority to make moderation decisions. Our disagreement is therefore not simply with the fact that a decision was made, but with our perception of how the circumstances and intent behind the situation were assessed.

We believe that situations involving staff members, particularly serious allegations, should be approached carefully and with sufficient consideration of the available context before permanent decisions are made.

6. EQUAL OWNERSHIP AND DECISION-MAKING

A further concern relates to our understanding of the ownership structure of “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”.

When the community was established, our understanding was that “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” was intended to be a collectively owned project, with the owners having equal ownership, equal responsibility, and an equal voice in significant matters concerning the server.

Against that understanding, the statement that the server was “your” server and that you would do whatever you wanted because of that created a significant concern for us.

We recognize that statements can sometimes be made during moments of frustration, and we do not intend to define you or your intentions based solely on one statement.

However, from our perspective, the incident highlighted a broader question regarding whether the equal ownership arrangement originally understood by all parties was being reflected in practice.

An equal ownership structure requires more than equal titles. It requires that every owner genuinely have an equal opportunity to participate in significant decisions and that no individual owner be treated as having unilateral authority over a collectively owned project.

This difference in understanding has materially contributed to our decision to step away.

7. “FORTNITE SPRITE INFO & TRADING!” AND YOUR CRYPTO ORGANISATION

We also believe it is appropriate to address the relationship between “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” and your crypto organisation.

To be clear, we have no objection to you having your own organisation, developing independent projects, pursuing partnerships, or building opportunities outside of “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”.

Our concern relates primarily to priorities and perception.

From our perspective, there were occasions where the “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” community appeared to contribute toward the visibility and development of your crypto organisation while “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” itself appeared to receive comparatively less attention and prioritization.

We are not claiming that this was necessarily deliberate, nor are we accusing you of intentionally misusing the community.

We are explaining how the situation appeared from our perspective and why it became a concern for us.

All of us invested significant time and effort into building “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”. As a result, we believed that the continued development of “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” itself should remain a central priority.

8. THE POTENTIAL OF “FORTNITE SPRITE INFO & TRADING!”

We want to emphasize that our decision should not be interpreted as a belief that “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” lacked value or potential.

We recognize that Discord is an extremely competitive environment and that there will always be alternative communities competing for members, attention, and engagement.

Nevertheless, we genuinely believe “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” possessed several advantages that differentiated it from many other communities.

The server had unique features and opportunities, established connections with numerous content creators, and, at one point, a highly efficient team that worked extremely well together.

Those factors created a strong foundation.

While no community is guaranteed to become large or successful, we genuinely believe “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” had the potential to become something significantly bigger.

This is one of the reasons this decision has been difficult for us.

We recognize how much work went into establishing the community, and we believe that with consistent management, stronger communication, effective collaboration between owners, meaningful community involvement, and a clear long-term direction, the server could have achieved considerably more.

9. DIFFERENCES IN OWNERSHIP PHILOSOPHY

Ultimately, our decision is based less on any single incident and more on the realization that we have developed different expectations regarding what ownership of a community should look like.

We believe ownership requires:

- Equal participation between co-owners
- Consistent and transparent decision-making
- Meaningful consideration of community feedback
- Protection of member trust
- Responsible use of moderation powers
- Effective communication
- A shared commitment to the long-term interests of the community

We recognize that no owner will handle every situation perfectly, and we do not claim that we have done so ourselves.

We acknowledge our own mistakes and recognize that there were areas in which we could have contributed more effectively.

Our concern is that, after considerable time working together, we no longer believe our approaches and expectations are sufficiently aligned to continue operating as equal owners in a productive manner.

10. REASON FOR THE SEPARATION

We have considered whether these differences could be resolved through continued discussion or changes in management.

However, we ultimately believe that continuing to operate within an ownership arrangement in which there are fundamental disagreements regarding authority, management, priorities, and decision-making would be more likely to create further tension than meaningful improvement.

Rather than allowing disagreements to develop into personal resentment, we believe the more responsible course of action is to separate the professional relationship while maintaining mutual respect where possible.

Accordingly, our decision to step away from the ownership and staffing of “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” is final.

This allows you to continue developing “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” according to your own vision and allows us to build our own community according to ours.

11. FRIENDSHIP, PRIVACY, AND MUTUAL RESPECT

Although we are ending the professional and ownership relationship, we do not want this decision to be interpreted as a declaration of personal hostility.

We continue to value you as a friend and appreciate the experiences, work, and time we have shared.

For that reason, we ask that you respect the privacy of every person named in this notice going forward.

Specifically, we ask that any personal information you have relating to any of us remain private. This includes, but is not limited to, our Instagram accounts, photographs, personal details, private communications, and any other information obtained in confidence or not intended for public distribution.

We will extend exactly the same courtesy to you.

We have no intention of sharing, leaking, exposing, or distributing your private information, and we ask that the same standard of privacy be maintained toward us.

12. CONFIDENTIALITY AND PUBLIC COMMUNICATION

We also believe that this matter should remain private and handled maturely.

We have no intention of encouraging members to take sides, publicly criticizing you, or turning our departure into a dispute between communities.

Likewise, we ask that private discussions relating to this decision not be unnecessarily distributed or presented publicly in a manner intended to create conflict.

There is little benefit to any of us in turning a professional separation into a public disagreement.

We believe that all parties are better served by maintaining professionalism and allowing each community to develop independently.

13. CONCLUSION

We understand that you may disagree with some or all of the concerns outlined above, and we respect your right to have your own perspective regarding the situations discussed.

This statement is not intended to demand agreement, nor is it intended to invite a point-by-point dispute.

Our purpose is simply to communicate our decision clearly, explain the factors that led us to it, and ensure that you hear our position directly from us.

We are grateful for the work we accomplished together, the time invested into “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!”, the experiences we shared, and the friendships that developed throughout that process.

We do not want to erase those positive aspects simply because the professional relationship is ending.

Our decision is not motivated by a desire to see “Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!” fail, to undermine you, or to create difficulties for your future projects. It is based on our belief that the current ownership arrangement is no longer suitable for us and that the most constructive path forward is for each side to pursue its own direction.

We sincerely wish you success with "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!", your crypto organisation, and any future projects you choose to pursue.

We hope that, despite this separation, we can maintain mutual respect, privacy, professionalism, and the friendships we have built outside of the business relationship.

Sincerely,

SpookyFLS

Former Owner, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

Beksim

Former Owner, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

The Sheeshgamer

Former Owner, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

Departing Staff

Acceptablemarty

Former Staff, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

CallMeMoxxie

Former Distributor, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

Iain Hugh

Former Staff, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

Trebenj

Former Distributor, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

Turtle Club Mafia Boss

Former Distributor & Staff, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

ywhaq

Former Distributor & Staff, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

BEYEBLE

Former Distributor, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

CozyBunniii

Former Distributor, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

Deadly Spaghetti

Former Staff, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

ZOE

Former Distributor, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

EvanTheSuckie

Former Staff, "Fortnite Sprite Info & Trading!"

Document Status: Formal Notice

Nature of Decision: Voluntary Departure from Ownership and Staff

Effective Date: 30 August 2026

Evidence 48: Beksim ownership-transfer message

Section H - Ownership dispute and earlier ownership-transfer context

August 10, 2026



Beksim  8/10/2026 2:48 AM

also not that im asking or expecting you too but if you ever want to pass the ownership im happy too, would make some things easier too since i mostly run it haha. and like still would be yours at the end of the day if i decide to leave or whatever lol.

Message dated 10 August 2026 in which Beksim says that, if xtrapz ever wanted to pass ownership, Beksim would be willing to take it, while adding that it would still be xtrapz's if Beksim left. User-supplied context states Beksim already held an owner role at this time. The screenshot does not independently define the wider partnership structure.

Evidence 49: Wider 9-10 August timeline context

Section H - Ownership dispute and earlier ownership-transfer context

August 9, 2026

 **Beksim**  8/9/2026 9:17 PM

9:17 PM @unknown-user
@SQCKing
@Kármá

 **Beksim**  8/9/2026 9:49 PM

 **Kármá** 8:13 PM
@Beksim SPRT that why i need to access this server so i can see message logs, idc if they dont give me any perms, just give me access to the logs channel
Yea I just told them to give them to me so when I'm able I'll get them for you but I have to get off my phone or I will lose my job. My foreman is strict asf with that
I promise you it's not us. I have no beef related with any of you

 **xtrapz**  8/9/2026 10:44 PM

 **Vee** 9:55 PM
Understood, i apologize this happened. Im no longer going to be owner with him of his server. Hes the owner. I was only in here to help him with the damn sprites. Anything you need to say or do, you will have to contact him. Im sorry all of this happened (edited)

August 10, 2026

 **Beksim**  8/10/2026 2:48 AM

also not that im asking or expecting you too but if you ever want to pass the ownership im happy too, would make some things easier too since i mostly run it haha. and like still would be yours at the end of the day if i decide to leave or whatever lol.

 **xtrapz**  8/10/2026 5:42 AM

<https://github.com/topics/discord-nuke-bot>

GitHub
Build software better, together
GitHub is where 150 million people build software and contribute to over 420 million projects.

You're Viewing Older Messages [Jump To Present](#)

Wider timeline screenshot containing earlier messages, Beksim's 10 August ownership-transfer message, and a later GitHub link posted by xtrapz. The screenshot preserves chronology but does not establish that the separate items are causally connected.

UNRELATED ACCOUNT IDENTIFIERS REDACTED

Revision Context 2 - Ownership Dispute

Purpose. This note records later ownership-related screenshots and user-supplied context received after the previous redacted revision. It adds context to the ownership disagreement without deciding which broader interpretation of the partnership was legally or operationally correct.

1. Earlier ownership-transfer message

Evidence 48 shows Beksim, dated 10 August 2026, saying that if xtrapz ever wanted to "pass the ownership" he would be willing to take it, adding that it would still be xtrapz's if Beksim later left. The user states that Beksim already held an owner role at the time. The screenshot itself does not independently define the server's formal ownership structure or whether co-owners had equal management rights.

2. Relationship to the later Formal Notice

The Formal Notice of Departure later described an understanding of equal ownership, equal responsibility and equal decision-making. Evidence 48 adds relevant context because it shows a distinction in the earlier conversation between being part of the ownership team and transferring the Discord server's ownership/control. It does not, by itself, prove that the later equal-ownership description was false or that any person lied.

3. Wider timeline screenshot

Evidence 49 preserves a wider 9-10 August timeline. It includes the ownership-transfer message and, later, xtrapz posting a GitHub link concerning Discord nuke bots. Their presence in one screenshot does not establish that the ownership conversation and GitHub link were causally connected. Three long numeric identifiers at the top were redacted because they were unrelated to the ownership issue and could identify accounts.

Interpretation rule

The pack records what the messages visibly say and separately records later explanations. It should not be read as a finding that Beksim, xtrapz, or any other person had a particular legal ownership status beyond what the supplied materials actually establish.